#### **PATENT COOPERATION TREATY**

					PCT		
see form PCT/ISA/220			•	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)			
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)			
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220				FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below			
	International application No. PCT/GB2004/005342		International filing date 21.12.2004	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 26.01.2004		
HO App	4N7/82, H04N7/5		both national classification H04N7/167	n and IPC			
	SLIMITED			·			
1.	This opinion co		ons relating to the fol	llowing items:			
	Box No. II	Basis of the op Priority	DINION		·		
	Box No. III	•	ment of opinion with rec	and to novelty, inventive	e step and industrial applicability		
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity o	-		,		
	🖾 Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain documents cited					
	Box No. VII	Certain defects	s in the international ap	plication			
rs.				•			
Tr.s.	LI Box No. VIII	Certain observ	rations on the internatio	*			
2.	FURTHER ACT		rations on the internation	*			
	FURTHER ACT	nternational pre f the Internation poses an Author eau under Rule	liminary examination is al Preliminary Examini ity other than this one	onal application  made, this opinion will  ng Authority ("IPEA"). It  to be the IPEA and the	usually be considered to be a dowever, this does not apply where chosen IPEA has notifed the tional Searching Authority		
	further activated in a demand for it written opinion of the applicant che international Burwill not be so could this opinion is, submit to the IP	nternational pre f the Internation coses an Author eau under Rule nsidered. as provided about A a written repidate of mailing	liminary examination is al Preliminary Examinity other than this one to 66.1 bis(b) that written ove, considered to be say together, where apprenticed to be say together, where apprenticed to be say together, where apprenticed to be say together.	made, this opinion will ng Authority ("IPEA"). Ho be the IPEA and the opinions of this internate written opinion of the ropriate, with amendments	lowever, this does not apply where chosen IPEA has notifed the		
	further activates for a demand for a written opinion of the applicant che international Burwill not be so could this opinion is, submit to the IPI months from the	nternational prefithe Internation coses an Author eau under Rule nsidered. as provided abe A a written repidate of mailing es later.	liminary examination is al Preliminary Examinition is all Preliminary Examination is all Prelimination is all Prelimin	made, this opinion will ng Authority ("IPEA"). Ho be the IPEA and the opinions of this internate written opinion of the ropriate, with amendments	lowever, this does not apply where chosen IPEA has notifed the tional Searching Authority  IPEA, the applicant is invited to into the expiration of three		

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

**Authorized Officer** 

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Güttlich, J

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2688



BES! AVAILABLE COPY

## NTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY



International application No. PCT/GB2004/005342

	Box No. I Basis of the opinion				
<b>1.</b>	Vith regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a. type of material:				
	a sequence listing				
	☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. format of material:				
	☐ In written format				
	☐ in computer readable form				
	c. time of filing/furnishing:				
	Contained in the international application as filed.				
	☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.				
4.	Additional comments:				

### YRITTEN OPINION OF THE NTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/005342

_				·						
_	Box	No. II	Priority	<del>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</del>						
1.	$\boxtimes$	The fol	lowing document ha	as not bee	n furnished	<b>d</b> :				
			copy of the earlier	application	n whose pr	iority has bee	en claimed (Rule 43bi	s.1 and 66.7(a)).		
			translation of the e	arlier appl	ication who	ose priority ha	ıs been claimed (Rule	43 <i>bis.</i> 1 and 66.7(b)).		
		Conse	quently it has not be neless been establis	en possib shed on th	le to consi e assumpt	der the validit ion that the re	y of the priority claim. Dievant date is the clai	This opinion has imed priority date.		
2.		This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis.</i> 1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.								
3		It has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim because a copy of the priority documer was not available to the ISA at the time that the search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.								
4.	Add	itional c	bservations, if nece	essary:						
		No. V	Reasoned state	ment und ons and e	er Rule 43 explanatio	i <i>bis</i> .1(a)(l) wi ns supportin	ith regard to novelty g such statement	, inventive step or		
1.	Stat	ement								
	Nov	elty (N)	e Silveria Books over	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-29				
	Inve	entive s	tep (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-29				
	Indu	istrial a	pplicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-29				

see separate sheet

Ĵ

10/504887

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/005342

#### Re Item V.

1 Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: EP 1 172 953 A (MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD), 16 January 2002

D2: US 2003/026423 A1 (UNGER ROBERT ALLAN ET AL), 6 February 2003

D3: WO 03/084208 A (MITSUBISHI DENKI KABUSHIKI KAISHA; MATSUZAKI, KAZUHIRO; KATO, YOSHIAKI), 9 October 2003,

whereby in the following reference is made to the late-published family member

(EP-A-1 432 228) written in English.

Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document): a method for the timely combination of commercials with additional character data interleaved with normal television program broadcasts in accordance with a broadcast schedule (paragraphs [0167-0188]).

From this, the subject-matter of independent claim 1 differs in that:

- the time codes are not provided frame-wise and are not assembled as a packetised elementary stream,

the time codes are not encrypted and, therefore, no encryption key together with an encryption method are received.

The subject matter of claim 1 is therefore considered to be novel (Article 33(2) PCT).

D2 (paragraphs [0033-0037], [0048-0050]) describes the parallel encryption of partial program streams with different methods.

D3 (paragraphs [0034-0058]) discloses multiplexing of timing information with layered video signals undergoing subsequent scrambling.

It appears that no combination of these documents with D1 would lead to a method as claimed, consequently, the subject-matter of claim 1 is also considered to involve an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

2.3 The same reasoning applies, mutatis mutandis, to the subject-matter of the related independent claims 6, 11, 16, 20, 24, 28 and 29 so that they are also

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/005342

considered to be new and inventive.

2.4 Claims 2-5, 7-10, 12-15, 17-19, 21-23 and 25-27 are each dependent on one of the above-mentioned independent claims and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.